# **Thermodynamic formalisms for Markov** subshifts on *d*-trees

Jung-Chao Ban<sup>1</sup> Guan-Yu Lai<sup>1</sup> Yu-Liang Wu<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>National Chengchi University <sup>2</sup>University of Oulu

## **Ising model on trees**

Consider an ideal magnet with a d-tree lattice structure  $T^{(d)}$  as follows.





• The orientations of the spins are governed by a **state**  $\mu$ , a probability measure over all possible configurations  $\mathcal{A}^{T^{(d)}} \coloneqq \{+1, -1\}^{T^{(d)}}.$ • The **free energy** of  $\mu$  restricted to initial *n*-subtree  $\Delta_n$  is

$$
F_n(\mu)=\int \varphi_n d\mu -h_n(\mu)\quad (\beta>0),
$$

where, for some constant  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

(entropy)

$$
h_n(\mu) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{A}^{\Delta_n}} -\mu[w] \log \mu[w],
$$

**(internal energy)**  $\varphi_n$ 

$$
\displaystyle u(x)=\sum_{g\in\Delta_n\smallsetminus\{\mathrm{root}\}}^{w\in A^{\Delta_n}}ax_{\varsigma(g)}x_g+\sum_{g\in\Delta_n}bx_g,
$$

with  $\varsigma(g)$  denoting the parent of g.

 $\cdot$  An **equilibrium state** is a state  $\mu$  minimizing the free energy per site

 $F(\mu) = \limsup$  $n\rightarrow\infty$ 1  $\overline{n}$  $F_n(\mu).$ 

The equilibrium state is the one that could be observed macroscopically.

#### **Properties**

 $(d = 1)$  There is a unique invariant equilibrium  $\mu$ , which is Markov and

#### • For simplicity, define  $\Psi_{A,d} : \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\geq 0} \to \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\geq 0}$  $^{\mathcal{A}}_{\geq 0}$  as  $\Psi_{A,d}(u)=\left(A^Tu\right)^d$ .

$$
F(\mu)=-P\;\;\text{with}\;P:=\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|\Delta_n|}\log\sum_{w\in\mathcal{A}^{\Delta_n}}\sup_{x\in [w]}e^{-\varphi_n(x)}.
$$

<span id="page-0-5"></span> $|\Delta_n|$ 

#### Question

- *• Can we identify the equilibrium state (without invariance assumption)?*
- *• For Markov measures, is there a pointwise convergence?*

## **Setting**

• The questions are studied under the following setting. Let  $A$  be a finite set and  $A \in R_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}}$ . Assume the system is defined by

• By introducing a metric  $D(x, y) = e^{\sup\{-|\Delta_n|: x_{\Delta_n} = y_{\Delta_n}\}}$  for  ${\mathcal{T}}_A$ , we have the following theorem.

#### **Corollary**

Moreover,  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}$  $\frac{1}{n}\varphi_n(x)+\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}$  $\frac{1}{n} \log \mu \bigl[ x_{\Delta_n} \bigr] = -P$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x.$ 

 $(d \geq 2)$  [R. M. Burton, C.-E. Pfister, and J. E. Steif \[1\]](#page-0-0) showed

<span id="page-0-3"></span> $\inf\{F(\mu): \mu \text{ invariant}\} > -P \text{ iff } (a, b) \neq (0, 0).$ 

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\textbf{(configurations)} & \mathcal{T}_A = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{A}^{T^{(d)}} : A_{x_g, x_{\varsigma(g)}} > 0, \forall g \in T^{(d)} \setminus \{ \text{root} \} \right\} \\ & \textbf{(internal energy)} & \varphi_n(x) = -\sum_{g \in \Delta_n \setminus \{ \text{root} \}} \log A_{g, \varsigma(g)} \end{array}
$$

with an additional assumption

(irreducibility)  $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } (A^n)$  $a, b$  $> 0.$ 

## <span id="page-0-4"></span>**Result 1: equilibrium states**

#### Theorem ([J.-C. Ban and Y.-L. Wu \[2\]\)](#page-0-1)

There is a layer-dependent Markov equilibrium  $\mu$  with

$$
F(\mu)=-P\text{ with }P:=\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|\Delta_n|}\log\sum_{w\in A^{\Delta_n}}\sup_{x\in\mathcal{T}_A\cap [w]}e^{-\varphi_n(x)}.
$$

Moreover,  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|\Delta|}$  $\frac{1}{|\Delta_n|}\big(\varphi_n(x)+\log\mu \big[x_{\Delta_n}\big]\big)=-P$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x.$ 

**Note:** The equilibrium  $\mu$  found above is not unique.

## **Result 2: pointwise convergence**

بس

**OULUN** 

**YLIOPISTO** 

#### Remark

• A is irreducible iff there exists a partition  $(\mathcal{A}_j)_{j=0}^{p-1}$  $_{j=0}^{p-1}$  of  $A$  such that  $a \in \mathcal{A}_i, b \in \mathcal{A}_j$  if and only if  $(A^{pn+j-i})$  $a, b$  $> 0$  for all large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . • If  $\mu$  is a Markov measure with transition matrix  $M$  and  $A = M$ , then  $\varphi_n(x) = \log \mu\big( \left\lfloor x_{\Delta_n}\right\rfloor \big\vert \, x_{\mathrm{root}}\big).$ Therefore, it suffices to study merely  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda}}$  $\varphi_n(x).$ 

#### Theorem ([J.-C. Ban, G.-Y. Lai, and Y.-L. Wu \[3\]](#page-0-2))

Suppose  $\mu$  is a invariant Markov measure with (irreducible) transition matrix  $M$  and  $\mathrm{supp}(\mu)= {\mathcal T}_A.$  If  $a\in {\mathcal A}_0$ , then for every interval  $I\subset {\mathbb R}$ ,

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{\left|\Delta_{pn+j}\right|}\log\mu\!\left(\frac{\log\varphi_{pn+j}(x)}{\left|\Delta_{pn+j}\right|}\in I\left|x_{\mathrm{root}}=a_0\right.\right)=\sup_{\alpha\in I}\Lambda^*_j(\alpha)
$$

where  $\Lambda_i^*$  $f_j^*(\alpha) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \mu\alpha - \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|\Delta \mu|}$  $|\Delta_n|$  $\log \lVert \Psi \rVert$  $\overline{pn}$  $\mathbb{E}_{A^{\mu}\odot M, d}^{pn}\bigl(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_j}\bigr)\bigr\| .$  In particular, for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x$  satisfying  $x_{\text{root}} = a_0$ ,

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\varphi_{pn+j}(x)}{\left|\Delta_{pn+j}\right|}=\alpha_j\coloneqq\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\varphi_p(y)}{\left|\Delta_p\setminus\{\text{root}\}\right|}\,\Bigg|\,\{y:y_{\text{root}}\in\mathcal{A}_j\}\right).
$$

## **Application**

Let 
$$
A \in \{0,1\}^{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}}
$$
 be irreducible and  $\mathcal{R}_{p,d} = \left\{ r \in (0,d]^p : \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} r_i = 1 \right\}$ .  
\n
$$
d^{-1} \overline{\dim}_B \mathcal{T}_A = \underline{\dim}_B \mathcal{T}_A = \dim_P \mathcal{T}_A = \max_{\mu} \underline{\dim}_P \mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\left\| \Psi_{A,d}^n(1) \right\|}{\left| \Delta_n \right|}
$$
\n
$$
\dim_H \mathcal{T}_A = \max_{\mu} \dim_H \mu = \min_{r \in \mathcal{R}_{p,d}} \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1} \prod_{i=0}^{\ell} r_i^{-1} \right)^{-1} \cdot \log \rho(\mathcal{L}_{A,r})
$$
\nwhere  $\mathcal{L}_{A,r} := \Psi_{A,r_{p-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_{A,r_0}$  with\n
$$
\rho(\mathcal{L}_{A,r}) = \sup \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{L}_{A,r}(u) = \alpha u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \{0\} \}.
$$



### **Sources**

<span id="page-0-0"></span>[\[1\]](#page-0-3) R. M. Burton, C.-E. Pfister, and J. E. Steif, "The variational principle for Gibbs states fails on trees," *Markov Processes And Related Fields*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 387–406, 1995.

<span id="page-0-1"></span>[\[2\]](#page-0-4) J.-C. Ban and Y.-L. Wu, "On the topological pressure of axial product on trees," *arXiv:2310.10242*.

<span id="page-0-2"></span>[\[3\]](#page-0-5) J.-C. Ban, G.-Y. Lai, and Y.-L. Wu, "Hausdorff dimensions of topologically transitive Markov hom tree-shifts," *arXiv:2401.05320*.